ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Open Access

Mycobiota and mycotoxins present in finished fish feeds from farms in the Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil

Tatiana S Barbosa¹, Carina M Pereyra^{3,4}, Carla A Soleiro^{1,2}, Erica O Dias¹, Aguida A Oliveira^{1,2}, Kelly M Keller^{1,2}, Pedro PO Silva¹, Lilia R Cavaglieri^{3,4*} and Carlos AR Rosa^{1,2}

* Correspondence: lcavaglieri@exa. unrc.edu.ar

³Departamento de Microbiología e Inmunología, Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto (UNRC), Ruta Nacional No. 36 Km 601, Río Cuarto, Córdoba X5804BYA, Argentina ⁴Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Tecnológicas (CONICET), Buenos Aires C1033AAJ, Argentina Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

The aim of the present study was to determine species of the fungal genera Aspergillus, Fusarium, and Penicillium and fumonisin B_1 (FB₁), aflatoxin B_1 (AFB₁), and ochratoxin A (OTA) contamination from feed intended for fish farms. A total of 60 samples were sampled from tilapia farms in the Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil. The quantitative enumeration of fungi as colony-forming units per gram of feed (CFU/g) was performed using the surface spread method in different culture media. The results were expressed as fungal isolation frequency and relative density. Fungal total counts ranged from $<1 \times 10^2$ to 4.7×10^4 CFU/g. Fusarium counts were not observed. Among toxigenic genera, Aspergillus (68%) was the most prevalent, followed by Penicillium species (60%). Aspergillus niger aggregate (36%), Aspergillus flavus (35%), and Penicillium citrinum (71%) were the most prevalent species. A high percentage of samples (98%) were contaminated with FB1 levels, while 55% and 3.3% were contaminated with AFB₁ and OTA, respectively. The simultaneous occurrence of these mycotoxins emphasizes the need for further research in the area to better assess the risk to the health of fish farms and their implications for the health of consumers of this meat.

Keywords: Aflatoxin B₁, Fish feed, Fumonisin B₁, Mycobiota, Ochratoxin A

Background

Aquatic products represent an important food source for animal and human consumption, the rising demand of which had led to a fast development of aquaculture (Myhr and Dalmo 2005). The primary objective in fish nutrition is to provide a nutritionally balanced mixture of ingredients as finished feed to support the maintenance, growth, reproductive performance, flesh quality, and health of the animals at an acceptable cost (NRC 1993). The quality of the products used in feeds for farmed fish has become a limiting factor for activity because these feeds are ideal substrates for the growth of fungi, which, under favorable conditions, may favor the synthesis of mycotoxins. Production of these toxic metabolites can occur during the growth of the crop, during post-harvest storage, or during the storage of the compounded feed (CAST Council for Agricultural Science and Technology 2003). The use of plant-based ingredients, such as corn, in aquafeeds enhances both the risk of introducing mycotoxins into the



© 2013 Barbosa et al; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

feed at the point of feed manufacturing and mycotoxin production during storage of compounded feed (Spring and Fegan 2005).

Fumonisin is of concern to the aquaculture industry because it commonly contaminates corn and its by-products. There are several publications on the toxic effects of fumonisin B_1 (FB₁) in different fish species (Yildirim et al. 2000; Nguyen et al. 2003; Wang et al. 1991). Aflatoxin was the first of the mycotoxins to be investigated in aquaculture. As in other animal species, aflatoxin exerts carcinogenic effects in fish (Spring and Fegan 2005). Different researchers demonstrated the presence of aflatoxin B_1 (AFB₁) in shrimp and fish feed (Bautista et al. 1994; Abdelhamid et al. 1998). Although ochratoxin A (OTA) has not been studied to the same extent as AFB₁ in aquaculture, there are several studies demonstrating the toxic effects of this toxin in different fish species (Manning et al. 2003; Shalaby 2004; Moussa and Khattab 2003).

The number of studies addressing the effects of aflatoxins in aquatic species is very limited. The difficulty in accurately diagnosing aflatoxicosis in fish may in part explain the lack of information regarding the incidence of aflatoxicosis in farmed aquatic species. Initial findings associated with aflatoxicosis in fish include pale gills, liver damage, poor growth rates, and immune suppression. In fish, the role of fumonisins as toxic agents remains unclear. On one hand, minimal adverse effects have been reported in channel catfish fed with *Fusarium verticillioides* culture material containing 313 ppm of FB₁ for 5 weeks (Brown et al. 1994). On the other hand, for the same fish species, dietary levels of FB₁ of 20 ppm or above have been shown to result in lower weight gain and significant decrease in hematocrit and red and white blood cells than those at lower doses (Lumlertdacha et al. 1995). In rainbow trout, pathological signs of ochratoxicosis included liver necrosis, pale and swollen kidneys, and high mortality (Hendricks 1994).

Continuous studies regarding the monitoring of these toxins in finished feed to be used as animal feed are being performed worldwide (Magnoli et al. 2002; Akande et al. 2006; Keller et al. 2007; Glenn 2007; Martins et al. 2008; Cavaglieri et al. 2009; Pereyra et al. 2011). However, there is little information on feed contaminated with FB₁, AFB₁, and OTA intended for fish feed. The aim of the present study was to determine species of the fungal genera *Aspergillus, Penicillium*, and *Fusarium* and FB₁, AFB₁, and OTA contamination from finished feed intended for fish farms.

Methods

Sample source

Finished feed samples were collected from two tilapia farms. The samples came from the most important region of the Rio de Janeiro State where aquaculture practice is developed. The farms were representative as much in size and composition as in the kind of storage method applied. A total of 60 pelleted samples were sampled between September 2009 and August 2010. To ensure a correct sampling, each bag of 25 kg had a linear imaginary division in its length into three equal parts from which primary samples (1 kg) from the upper layer, central layer, and lower layer were collected. Samples were properly packed in bags and immediately sent to the laboratory. Samples were immediately processed for physical and mycological analyses and kept at $-4^{\circ}C$ until FB₁, AFB₁, and OTA analyses.

Physical properties of samples

Water activity (a_W) determinations were carried out using AQUALAB CX2 (Decagon, Devices, Inc., Pullman, WA, USA). The device was calibrated using appropriate saline solutions in the work interval - according to procedures manual - before sample measurements.

Mycological analysis

The quantitative enumeration of fungi as colony-forming units per gram of feed (CFU/g) was performed using the surface spread method described by Pitt and Hocking (1997). Ten grams of each sample was homogenized in 90 mL of distilled water solution for 30 min in an orbital shaker. Serial dilutions $(10^{-2} \text{ to } 10^{-4})$ were made, and 0.1-mL aliquots were inoculated in triplicate onto the media dichloran rose bengal chloramphenicol agar (DRBC) for estimating total culturable fungi (Abarca et al. 1994) and dichloran 18% glycerol agar (DG18) that favors xerophilic fungus development. The plates were incubated at 25°C for 5 to 7 days. All samples were also inoculated onto Nash and Snyder agar to enumerate *Fusarium* species (Nelson et al. 1983). Nash-Snyder plates were incubated at 24°C for 7 days under a 12-h cold white/12-h black fluorescent light photoperiod. Only plates containing 10 to 100 CFU were used for counting, with results expressed as CFU/g of sample. On the last day of incubation, individual CFU/g counts for each colony type considered to be different were recorded. Colonies representative of *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* were transferred for sub-culturing to plates containing malt extract agar, whereas *Fusarium* spp. were transferred for sub-culturing to plates containing carnation leaf agar.

Species of *Aspergillus, Penicillium*, and *Fusarium* were identified according to Klich (2002), Samson et al. (2000), and Nelson et al. (1983), respectively. The results were expressed as isolation frequency (percentage of samples in which each genus was present) and relative density (percentage of isolation of each species among the same genus).

Fumonisin B₁ detection and quantification

A commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay plate kit, AgraQuant[®] Total Fumonisin Assay (Romer Labs[®], Campinas, Brazil), was applied for the extraction and quantification of FB₁. Mycotoxin extraction and testing were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. A 20-g portion of each sample was extracted with 100 mL of methanol for 3 min into a blend jar. The mixture was diluted in water (1:20 ν/ν) and an aliquot taken and placed into a culture plate. Detection limit of the technique was 0.2 µg/g.

Aflatoxin B₁ and ochratoxin A determination

The extraction of AFB₁ and OTA was determined according to Soares and Rodriguez-Amaya (1989). Detection and quantification evaluation was made by thin-layer chromatography. Detection limits of the used techniques were 0.003 and 0.005 μ g/g for AFB₁ and OTA, respectively.

Statistical analyses

Data analyses were performed by analysis of variance. Data on total fungal counts were transformed using the logarithmical function $\log_{10} (x + 1)$ before applying the analysis

of variance. The LSD test was used to determine the significant differences between means. The analysis was conducted using the BioEstat 5.0 program.

Results

Water activity content

The fish feed samples tested had $a_{\rm W}$ mean values of 0.598 ± 0.053.

Mycological isolation and identification

Fungal total counts on the DRBC and DG18 media ranged from $<1 \times 10^2$ to 4.7×10^4 and from $<1 \times 10^2$ to 2.7×10^4 CFU/g, respectively. The mean fungal total counts were $2.9 \times 10^3 \pm 0.6 \times 10^3$ (medium \pm standard deviation (SD)) in DRBC and $2.2 \times 10^3 \pm 3.8 \times 10^3$ (medium \pm SD) in DG18 medium. *Fusarium* spp. were not present on the SNA medium.

Based on the frequency of fungal genera (%), eight filamentous genera were obtained. *Cladosporium* (85%) was predominant. Among the toxigenic genera, the genus *Aspergillus* (68%) was the most prevalent, followed by *Penicillium* species (60%). Other genera were isolated at lower frequencies such as *Wallemia*, *Eurotium*, *Aureobasidium*, *Mucor*, and *Nigrospora* species (Figure 1).

Figure 2 shows the relative density (%) of *Aspergillus* spp.; *Aspergillus niger* aggregate and *Aspergillus flavus* were the most prevalent, followed by *Aspergillus versicolor*. *Aspergillus fumigatus, Aspergillus candidus,* and *Aspergillus oryzae* were found at low relative densities.

Figure 3 shows the relative density (%) of *Penicillium* spp. A high diversity of *Penicillium* species was found. *Penicillium citrinum* was the most prevalent, followed by *Penicillium glabrum*.

Fumonisin B₁ determination

Samples contaminated with FB1 levels (90%) ranged from 0.3 to 4.94 $\mu g/g$ and 2.6 $\mu g/g$ as medium level.

Aflatoxin B₁ and ochratoxin A


Fifty-five percent and 3.3% of samples were individually contaminated with AFB₁ and OTA, respectively, in levels detectable but not quantifiable for the technique used.

Co-occurrence

Of total samples proved to have AFB₁ (55%) and FB₁ (98%), 50% showed co-occurrence of these mycotoxins. The 3.3% of the samples presented co-occurrence of the three mycotoxins analyzed.

Discussion


The mycobiota and mycotoxin contamination present in finished feeds intended for fish was studied. In this study, moderate levels of colony counts were found. These results were similar to those obtained in feed from marine shrimp studied in Piaui State (Santos 2006). However, in our study, 10% of samples analyzed exceeded the levels proposed as hygienic feed quality limits in DRBC (1×10^4 CFU/g; Good Manufacture




Practice 2008). The DRBC medium, used to estimate total culturable fungi (Abarca et al. 1994), and DG18, which favors xerophilic fungus development, revealed high counts of mold, and this corresponds to dry feed as is being studied here.

Fungal growth leads to the reduction of the nutritional quality of fish feed samples that could affect the palatability of feed and reduce the animal's nutrient absorption, determining a low-quality substrate and an improper storage. Little published information about fungal contamination in feeds for aquaculture in Brazil has been found. Cardoso (2011) obtained counts over the proposed limits in fish feed samples in the north of Brazil, reporting high counts in 56% and 11% of samples from juvenile and growing stages, respectively. Nunes (2009), who also studied fish feed, showed that 67% feed samples had counts above the proposed limit by Good Manufacture Practice (2008).

Many studies have shown that most feeds have species of the genera *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* as predominant in pelleted feed (Keller et al. 2007, 2008; Pereyra et al. 2009; Fernández Juri et al. 2009). Our results showed that *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* species had the highest isolation frequencies. These results are similar to those obtained





by Santos (2006) and Cardoso (2011) in marine shrimp and fish feed samples. However, these authors also reported *Fusarium* species in fish feed samples.

In this study, *A. niger* aggregate and *A. flavus* were the most prevalent species isolated from these types of samples, followed by *A. versicolor*. Santos (2006), Nunes (2009), and Cardoso (2011) reported high percentages of *A. flavus* in feed samples from aquaculture in the north of Brazil. Other studies found *Aspergillus* section *Flavi* species followed by *A. niger* aggregate from equine, poultry, and pet pelleted feed samples as prevalent (Keller et al. 2007; Pereyra et al. 2009; Fernández Juri et al. 2009).

Many of the *Penicillium* species found (*P. citrinum*, *P. glabrum*, *P. minioluteum*, *P. citreonigrum*, *P. corylophilum*, *P. italicum*, and *P. variabile*) can produce a very wide range of toxic compounds such as citrinin and citreoviridin (Pitt 2004). So far, there is no information about the toxicological effects of these mycotoxins in fish.

Some researchers have stressed the importance of fungal determination in order to provide information about which mycotoxin could be present (Dalcero et al. 1997, 1998; Magnoli et al. 2002). In this work, the frequency of *A. flavus* and AFB₁ contamination showed a positive relation, whereas both *A. niger* aggregate with OTA and *F. verticillioides* with FB₁ contamination showed a negative relation. In this work, *F. verticillioides* strains were not isolated; however, levels of FB₁ in 98% of feed samples were found. *Fusarium* is a genus of field fungi, of which species do not have the ability to grow in dry feed, but it is probable that FB₁ has been produced in the field and comes with the raw material that composes the finished feed.

The pelleted ones are produced in a humid process with heat, where the product reaches a temperature between 85°C and 90°C, with 15.5% to 17% of humidity, for 30 to 45 s. Considering the process of feed elaboration, where the feed is subjected to high temperatures, the inactivation of fungal propagules could be expected and not FB₁, which is inactivated at 150°C (WHO World Health Organization 2001).

Fusarium toxins are important not so much for their acute effects as for the chronic syndromes reported worldwide. Dietary fumonisin at 20 ppm has been shown to reduce growth rate in catfish with an average weight of 1.5 g (Yildirim et al. 2000). Two-year -old catfish only had reduced weight gain when exposed to 80 ppm of fumonisin. Toxic

effects of fumonisin have been reported in the Nile tilapia at concentrations similar to those in catfish (Nguyen et al. 2003). A survey of catfish feed ingredients in Alabama and Mississippi in the USA revealed that 80% of the corn samples contained detectable levels of fumonisin in concentrations that ranged from 1.3 to 10 ppm (Lumlertdacha and Lovell 1995). In this work, the principal ingredient in the fish feed also was the corn. In our study, FB₁ levels in the fish feed samples did not exceed the permissible limit from animal feed (5 ppm; Good Manufacture Practice 2008). However, chronic levels could cause adverse effects in fish. According to the European Union recommendations (2003 and 2006), the tolerable limits for fumonisins (FB₁ + FB₂), AFB₁, and OTA are 10, 0.02, and 0.25 ppm, respectively, in animal feed (except dairy cows for AFB₁).

The presence of AFB_1 in this work shows a frequency higher than that found by Hashimoto et al. (2003) in feed used for aquaculture in the region of Londrina, Paraná, which was 28.5% of total aflatoxin-contaminated samples, AFB_1 being the principal. In another study of commercial feeds for fish in the north and west of the state of Paraná, Buck (2005) detected total aflatoxin contamination in 17% of samples. The frequency contamination of OTA is similar to that found by Buck (2005), where 4% of feed samples analyzed showed only OTA.

Exposure to mycotoxins often occurs simultaneously. The present study has shown the simultaneous occurrence of three carcinogenic mycotoxins, FB_1 , AFB_1 , and OTA, in feed intended for fish farms. There are no studies published on feed contamination simultaneous with these three toxins intended for fish farms in Brazil.

The biological effects of mycotoxins depend on the ingested amounts, number of occurring mycotoxins, time of exposure, and animal sensitivity. Moreover, the mycotoxins' effects are not only amplified by stress production but also increased in intensively reared animals (Yiannikouris and Jouany 2002; Binder 2007).

Conclusions

The simultaneous occurrence of FB_1 , AFB_1 , and OTA emphasizes the need for further research in the area to better assess the risk to the health of fish farms and their implications for the health of consumers of this meat. The first important step in controlling the fungal and mycotoxin contamination in finished feed is to control them in the raw materials from which the feed is prepared in order to prevent the occurrence of mycotoxicosis in aquaculture, to reduce economic losses, and to minimize hazards to human health.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

TB carried out the mycological and mycotoxin analysis. CP was responsible for the organization of the results and drafted the manuscript. CS participated in the mycological analysis. ED carried out the sampling and analysis of physical properties of samples. AO participated in the mycotoxin analysis. KK carried out the statistical analysis and coordination of the study. PS participated in the design of the study. LC was responsible for the organization of the results and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This work was carried out with grants from PICT-CNPq and CAPES-SPU which are gratefully acknowledged.

Author details

¹Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro (UFRRJ), Rod. BR465 Km 7, Seropédica, Rio de Janeiro 23890-000, Brazil. ²Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Brasília 71605-001, Brazil. ³Departamento de Microbiología e Inmunología, Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto (UNRC), Ruta Nacional No. 36 Km 601, Río Cuarto, Córdoba X5804BYA, Argentina. ⁴Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Tecnológicas (CONICET), Buenos Aires C1033AAJ, Argentina.

Received: 3 September 2012 Accepted: 17 January 2013 Published: 22 February 2013

References

- Abarca ML, Bragulat MR, Castellá G, Cabañes FJ (1994) Ochratoxin A production by strains of *Aspergillus niger* var. *niger*. Appl Environ Microbiol 60:2650–2652
- Abdelhamid AM, Khalil FF, Ragab MA (1998) Problem of mycotoxins in fish production. Egyptian J Nutr Feeds 1:63–71 Akande KE, Abubakar MM, Adegbola TA, Bogoro SE (2006) Nutritional and health implications of mycotoxins in animal feeds: a review. Pak J Nutr 5(5):398–403
- Bautista MN, Lavilla-Pitogo CR, Subosa PF, Begino ET (1994) Aflatoxin B₁ contamination of shrimp feeds and its effect on growth and hepatopancreas and pre-adult *Penaeus monodom*. J Sci Food Agri 65:5–11
- Binder EM (2007) Managing the risk of mycotoxins in modern feed production. Anim Feed Sci Technol 133:149–166
 Brown DW, McCoy CP, Rottinghaus GE (1994) Experimental feeding of *Fusarium moniliforme* culture material containing fumonisin B₁ to channel catfish, (*lctalurus punctatus*). J Vet Diagn Invest 6(1):123–124
- Buck EL (2005) Micotoxinas em rações destinadas à piscicultura comercial. Dissertação (Mestrado em Ciência Animal). Universidade Estadual de Londrina, Londrina
- Cardoso F (2011) Monitoramento de fungos toxigenicos e aflatoxina em raçaes utilizadas na pisicultura em Teresina, Piauí, Brasil. Dissertação (Mestrado em Ciência Animal). Universidade Federal do Piauí, Teresina
- CAST (Council for Agricultural Science and Technology) (2003) Mycotoxins: risks in plant, animal and human systems. Task Force Report No. 139, Ames
- Cavaglieri LR, Keller KM, Pereyra CM, González Pereyra ML, Alonso VA, Rojo FG, Dalcero AM, Rosa CAR (2009) Mycotoxicity of barley rootlets and malt used as pig feedstuff ingredients. Journal of Stored Products Research 45:147–150
- Dalcero A, Magnoli C, Chiacchiera S, Palacios G, Reynoso M (1997) Mycoflora and incidence of aflatoxin B₁, zearalenone and deoxinyvalenol in poultry feeds in Argentina. Mycopathology 137:179–184
- Dalcero A, Magnoli C, Luna M, Ancasi G, Reynoso M, Chiacchiera S, Miazzo R, Palacio G (1998) Mycoflora and naturally occurring mycotoxins in poultry feeds in Argentina. Mycopathology 141:37–43
- Fernández Juri MG, Astoreca AL, Barberis CL, Cavaglieri LR, Dalcero AM, Magnoli CE (2009) Aflatoxins, fumonisins and toxigenic fungi in raw materials and ready dry dog food in central Argentina. Rev Bras Med Vet 31(2):109–117
- Glenn AE (2007) Mycotoxigenic Fusarium species in animal feed. Anim Feed Sci Technol 137(3–4):213–240
 Good Manufacturing Practice (2008) GMP⁺ Certification Scheme Animal Feed Sector 2006: Appendix 1: Product standards; regulations on product standards in the Animal Feed Sector. GMP14, Zoetermeer, pp 1–39
- Hashimoto EH, Santos MA, Ono EYS, Hayashi C, Bracarense APFRL, Hirooka EY (2003) Bromatologia e contaminação com fumonisina e aflatoxina em rações utilizadas na piscicultura da região de Londrina, Estado do Paraná, Brasil. Seminario: Ciências Agrárias 24(1):123–132
- Hendricks JD (1994) Carcinogenecity of aflotoxins in nonmammalian organisms. In: Eaton DL, Groopman JD (ed) Toxicology of aflotoxins: human health, veterinary, and agricultural significance. Academic, San Diego, pp 103–136
- Keller KM, Keller LAM, Oliveira AA, Almeida TX, Garcia RS, Rosa CAR (2008) Avaliação micotoxicológica em produtos destinados à alimentação de caprinos leiteiros em Teresópolis, RJ, Brasil (Mycotoxicological evaluation of feedstuffs intended for dairy goats in Teresópolis, RJ, Brazil). Rev Bras Med Vet 30(2):91–96
- Keller KM, Queiroz BD, Keller LAM, Ribeiro JMM, Cavaglieri LR, González Pereyra ML, Dalcero AM, Rosa CAR (2007) The mycobiota and toxicity of equine feed. Vet Res Commun 31:1037–1045
- Klich MA (2002) Identification of common Aspergillus species. CBS, Utrecht
- Lumlertdacha S, Lovell RT (1995) Fumonisin-contaminated dietary corn reduced survival and antibody production by channel catfish challenged with *Edwardsiella ictaluri*. J Aquatic Anim Health 7:1–8
- Lumlertdacha S, Lovell RT, Shelby RA, Lenz SD, Kemppainen BW (1995) Growth, hematology, and histopathology of channel catfish (*lctalurus punctatus*), fed toxins from *Fusarium moniliforme*. Aquaculture 130:201–218
- Magnoli C, Chiacchiera SM, Miazzo R, Palacio G, Angeletti A, Hallak C, Dalcero A (2002) The mycoflora and toxicity of feedstuffs from a production plant in Cordoba, Argentina. Mycotoxin Res 18:7–22
- Manning BB, Ulloa RM, Li MH, Robinson EH, Rottinghaus GE (2003) Ochratoxin A fed to channel catfish causes reduced growth and lesions of hepatopancreatic tissue. Aquaculture 219:739–750
- Martins HM, Marques M, Almeida I, Guerra MM, Bernardo F (2008) Mycotoxins in feedstuffs in Portugal: an overview. Mycotoxin Research 1(24):19–23
- Moussa MA, Khattab YA (2003) The counteracting effect of vitamin C (L-ascorbic acid) on the physiological perturbations induced by ochratoxin intoxication in the African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*). J Egypt Acad Environ Develop (D-Environmental Studies) 4(1):117–128
- Myhr Al, Dalmo RA (2005) Is there a need for risk governance of genetic engineering in aquaculture? Aquaculture 250:542–554
- Nelson PE, Toussoun TA, Marasas WFO (ed) (1983) *Fusarium* species: an illustrated manual for identification. The Pennsylvania State University Press, University Park
- Nguyen AT, Manning BB, Lovell RT, Rottinghaus GE (2003) Responses of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fed diets containing different concentrations of moniliformin or fumonisin B₁. Aquaculture 217:515–528
- NRC (1993) Nutrient requirements of fish. National Academy, Washington, DC

Nunes E (2009) Micobiota fungica nos ingredientes e em raçao para pisicultura. Dissertação (Mestrado em Ciência Animal). Universidade Federal do Piauí, Teresina

Pereyra C, Pena G, Cavaglieri L, Krüger CD, Keller KM, Rosa CAR, Chiacchiera SM, Dalcero AM (2009) Influence of poultry feed processing on mycobiota and ochratoxin and citrinin co-occurrence. Rev Bras Med Vet 31(1):51–58

- Pereyra CM, Cavaglieri LR, Chiacchiera SM, Dalcero AM (2011) Mycobiota and mycotoxins contamination in raw materials and finished feed intended for fattening pigs production in eastern Argentina. Vet Res Commun 35(6):367–379
- Pitt JI (2004) Guia de laboratório para La identificacion de espécies comunes de Penicillium, 1st edition. CSIRO Division of Food Research, Clayton (in Spanish)

Pitt JI, Hocking AD (1997) Fungi and food spoilage, 2nd edition. Blackie Academic, London

Samson RA, Hockstra ES, Frisvad JC, Filtenborg O (2000) Introduction to food and airborne fungi. Centaalbureau Voorschimmelculturs-Utrecht Ponson and Looyen. Wageningen Press, Wageningen

Santos FCF (2006) Fungos em rações para camarões cultivados no Estado do Piauí. Dissertação (Mestrado em Ciência Animal). Universidade Federal do Piauí, Teresina

Shalaby AME (2004) In: Remedios RB, Mair GC, Fitzsimmons K (ed) The opposing effect of ascorbic acid (vitamin C) on ochratoxin toxicity in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). Proceedings of the 6th international symposium on tilapia in aquaculture, Manila, pp 209–221

Soares LMV, Rodriguez-Amaya D (1989) Survey of aflatoxins, ochratoxin A, zearalenone and sterigmatocystin in some Brazilian foods by using multi-toxin thin-layer chromatographic method. J Assoc Off Anal Chem 1:22–26

Spring P, Fegan DF (2005) Mycotoxins - a rising threat to aquaculture. Nutritional biotechnology in the feed and food industries. Proceedings of Alltech's 21st annual symposium, Lexington, pp 323–331

Wang EW, Norred P, Bacon CW, Riley RT, Merrill AH (1991) Inhibition of sphingolipid biosynthesis by fumonisins. J Biol Chem 266:1486–1490

WHO (World Health Organization) (2001) Safety evaluation of certain mycotoxins in food. JECFA, Roma
 Yiannikouris A, Jouany JP (2002) Mycotoxins in feeds and their fate in animals: a review. Animal Res 51:81–99
 Yildirim MB, Manning RT, Lovell JM, Grizzle RGE (2000) Toxicity of moniliformin and fumonisin B₁ fed singly and in combination in diets for channel catfish. J World Aqua Soc 31:599–608

doi:10.1186/2008-6970-5-3

Cite this article as: Barbosa *et al.*: Mycobiota and mycotoxins present in finished fish feeds from farms in the Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil. *International Aquatic Research* 2013 5:3.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[™] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at
springeropen.com